NETWORK NEURO **SCIENCE**

an open access \bigcap journal

Citation: Farah, R., Glukhovsky, N., Rosch, K., & Horowitz-Kraus, T. (2022). Structural white matter characteristics for working memory and switching/inhibition in children with reading difficulties: The role of the left superior longitudinal fasciculus. Network Neuroscience, 6(3), 897–915. https://doi.org/10.1162/netn_a_00257

DOI: https://doi.org/10.1162/netn_a_00257

Received: 16 September 2021 Accepted: 2 June 2022

Competing Interests: The authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

Corresponding Author: Tzipi Horowitz-Kraus tzipi.kraus@technion.ac.il

Handling Editor: Patric Hagmann

Copyright: © 2022 Massachusetts Institute of Technology Published under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International (CC BY 4.0) license

The MIT Press

RESEARCH

Structural white matter characteristics for working memory and switching/inhibition in children with reading difficulties: The role of the left superior longitudinal fasciculus

Rola Farah¹, Noam Glukhovsky¹, Keri Rosch^{2,[3](https://orcid.org/0000-0003-0340-4708)}, and Tzipi Horowitz-Kraus^{1,2,3}

¹Educational Neuroimaging Group, Faculty of Education in Science and Technology, Faculty of Biomedical Engineering, Technion – Israel Institute of Technology, Haifa, Israel

² Kennedy Krieger Institute, Baltimore, MD, USA

3 Department of Psychiatry and Behavioral Sciences, Johns Hopkins University School of Medicine, Baltimore, MD, USA

Keywords: Children, Reading difficulties, Executive functions, Fractional anisotropy, White Matter

ABSTRACT

Reading difficulties (RDs) are characterized by slow and inaccurate reading as well as additional challenges in cognitive control (i.e., executive functions, especially in working memory, inhibition, and visual attention). Despite evidence demonstrating differences in these readers' language and visual processing abilities, white matter differences associated with executive functions (EFs) difficulties in children with RDs are scarce. Structural correlates for reading and EFs in 8- to 12-year-old children with RDs versus typical readers (TRs) were examined using diffusion tensor imaging (DTI) data. Results suggest that children with RDs showed significantly lower reading and EF abilities versus TRs. Lower fractional anisotropy (FA) in left temporo-parietal tracts was found in children with RDs, who also showed positive correlations between reading and working memory and switching/inhibition scores and FA in the left superior longitudinal fasciculus (SLF). FA in the left SLF predicted working memory performance mediated by reading ability in children with RDs but not TRs. Our findings support alterations in white matter tracts related to working memory, switching/inhibition, and overall EF challenges in children with RDs and the linkage between working memory difficulties and FA alterations in the left SLF in children with RDs via reading.

AUTHOR SUMMARY

Our findings support alterations in white matter tracts related to working memory, a component of executive functions, and reading in children with reading difficulties as well as the differences in association between white matter alterations in tracts associated with reading deficiency and working memory, switching/inhibition, and overall executive function challenges in children with reading difficulties.

INTRODUCTION

Reading Difficulty: Definition and Characteristics

Reading difficulty (RD) is defined as a specific reading disorder that affects individuals with average and above-average intelligence; cannot be attributed to environmental factors or neurological, psychiatric conditions, or brain damage; and is prevalent in about 10% of children in the United States [\(Lyon et al., 2003](#page-15-0)). The prevailing theory for the cause of RD is the phonological processing deficit, which stands for impairment in awareness of the spoken sounds in language and mentally mapping letters to representations of the corresponding speech sounds (phonemes) [\(Snowling, 1995\)](#page-17-0). Moreover, several studies have recently pointed to additional challenges in cognitive control, or executive functions (EFs), in this group of readers ([Horowitz-Kraus & Breznitz, 2014;](#page-15-0) [Levinson et al., 2018](#page-15-0); [Meiri et al., 2019](#page-16-0); [Mercedes & Cutting,](#page-16-0) [2020](#page-16-0); [Pennington et al., 1993;](#page-16-0) [Wang et al., 2012](#page-18-0)). More specifically, challenges in working memory ([Fostick & Revah, 2018;](#page-14-0) [Ram-Tsur et al., 2008;](#page-16-0) [Smith-Spark & Fisk, 2007](#page-17-0); [Zhao](#page-18-0) [et al., 2015](#page-18-0)), switching/inhibition [\(Levinson et al., 2018\)](#page-15-0), and visual attention ([Facoetti &](#page-14-0) [Molteni, 2001](#page-14-0)) were reported. These findings are supported by the extension of the Simple View of Reading model ([Cutting et al., 2015](#page-14-0)), suggesting that in addition to linguistic (phonological) and decoding/reading abilities, EFs play a central role in the reading comprehension process. More specifically, working memory was found to affect the linguistic and word decoding domains ([Cirino et al., 2019](#page-14-0); [Kim, 2020;](#page-15-0) [Spencer et al., 2020;](#page-17-0) [Taboada Barber](#page-17-0) [et al., 2021\)](#page-17-0) with additional work supporting the role of switching/inhibition in word decod-ing as well [\(Spencer et al., 2020](#page-17-0)).

Executive Functions: Definition and Relation to Reading Ability

EF is an umbrella term describing a set of high-order cognitive abilities that control and regulate functions and behaviors [\(Anderson, 2002\)](#page-13-0). Basic EFs are involved in cognitive processes such as flexibility, working memory, attention control, and cognitive inhibition ([Diamond,](#page-14-0) [2013;](#page-14-0) [Lehto et al., 2003;](#page-15-0) [Miyake et al., 2000\)](#page-16-0). Studies have shown that children with RDs have deficits in working memory tasks in both verbal and visual domains and impairments in inhi-bition and shifting ([Horowitz-Kraus, 2014](#page-15-0); [Reiter et al., 2005;](#page-16-0) [Varvara et al., 2014](#page-17-0)). Reports suggest that the challenges in EFs in children with RDs continue into adulthood [\(Brosnan](#page-13-0) [et al., 2002;](#page-13-0) [Smith-Spark et al., 2016](#page-17-0)), interfering with their everyday routine and reading ([Smith-Spark et al., 2016\)](#page-17-0). Mechanistically, the ability to read newly encountered words relies on the ability to decode letters visually (i.e., utilizing visual attention abilities) to their corresponding sounds, maintain them in working memory, assemble them into a word, and match the semantic meaning to it in an automatic manner (see also [Horowitz-Kraus, 2016\)](#page-15-0). Switching/shifting between decoding and word recognition is also essential for fluent reading and reading comprehension [\(Spencer et al., 2020](#page-17-0)), which may explain how difficulties in these EFs contribute to reading challenges in children with RDs.

Neurobiological Correlates of Reading and EF Dysfunction in Individuals With RDs

It is traditionally suggested that the neural reading network consists of three discrete left hemisphere regions: the temporo-parietal, inferior-frontal (inferior-frontal gyrus), and occipitotemporal (fusiform gyrus, aka the Visual Word Form Area) cortices and is associated with word recognition ([Martin et al., 2015;](#page-16-0) [Norton et al., 2015\)](#page-16-0). The temporo-parietal region is related to language and phonological processing and comprehension, whereas the inferior-frontal regions are related to production and semantic processing [\(Dehaene, 2009\)](#page-14-0). Interestingly enough, recent studies have pointed at the participation of frontal cortices related to EFs in reading: the dorsal-anterior cingulate cortex and the dorsolateral prefrontal cortex related to error monitoring and working memory, respectively, where greater activation was related to increased reading abilities [\(Buchweitz et al., 2019](#page-14-0)). This increased activation was extended to EF networks related to these regions (i.e., cingulo-opercular and fronto-parietal), which showed increased networks connectivity related to increased reading performance ([Horowitz-Kraus & Holland, 2015](#page-15-0); [Patael et al., 2018;](#page-16-0) [Turkeltaub et al., 2003](#page-17-0)). It was suggested that an engagement of frontal cortices and networks associated with EFs during reading was related to a compensatory mechanism for these readers [\(Horowitz-Kraus, 2014](#page-15-0); [Horowitz-Kraus](#page-15-0) [et al., 2015a](#page-15-0), [2015b;](#page-15-0) [Horowitz-Kraus & Holland, 2015](#page-15-0); [Horowitz-Kraus et al., 2014\)](#page-15-0).

Traditionally, the left hemisphere played a central role in intact reading abilities. Previous research suggested that typical reading (TR) involves activation of the aforementioned regions, mainly in the left hemisphere [\(Backes et al., 2002;](#page-13-0) [Horowitz-Kraus et al., 2013](#page-15-0); [Shaywitz et al., 2002;](#page-16-0) [Silani et al., 2005](#page-17-0); [Simos et al., 2002](#page-17-0)), whereas individuals with RDs showed activation in the right homologous regions [\(Backes et al., 2002](#page-13-0); [Shaywitz](#page-16-0) [et al., 2002;](#page-16-0) [Simos et al., 2002;](#page-17-0) [Waldie et al., 2013\)](#page-17-0). Additionally, an altered activation in the left hemisphere has also been consistently reported in individuals with RDs. Specifically, decreased activation of the left inferior parietal, superior temporal, middle and inferior temporal, and fusiform regions in adults [\(Richlan et al., 2009;](#page-16-0) [Turkeltaub et al., 2003](#page-17-0)), chil-dren [\(Richlan et al., 2011\)](#page-16-0), and prereaders at risk for RDs ([Vandermosten et al., 2016](#page-17-0)) were related to lower reading skills ([Hoeft et al., 2007](#page-15-0)).

Studies using diffusion tensor imaging (DTI) provide complementary information to these functional neuroimaging studies. The "classical" reading tracts that connect the readingrelated gray matter regions mentioned above include the arcuate fasciculus (AF)—with studies reporting reduced fractional anisotropy (FA) in the left AF—negatively correlated with single-word reading skills ([Gullick & Booth, 2015](#page-14-0)) and the left superior longitudinal fasciculus (SLF)—with findings of positive correlation between FA in the SLF and reading scores ([Carter](#page-14-0) [et al., 2009;](#page-14-0) [Klingberg et al., 2000\)](#page-15-0). In addition to the AF and SLF, the left inferior longitudinal fasciculus (ILF) connecting the posterior inferior temporal gyrus to the anterior and medial temporal lobe areas, plays a crucial part in organizing visual stimuli about words according to their lexical meaning [\(Anwander et al., 2007;](#page-13-0) [Cummine et al., 2015](#page-14-0); [Qi et al., 2015](#page-16-0); [Yeatman](#page-18-0) [et al., 2012a,](#page-18-0) [2012b;](#page-18-0) [Yeatman et al., 2013](#page-18-0)). This tract has also been strongly linked to language and reading [\(Hoeft et al., 2011;](#page-15-0) [Myers et al., 2014](#page-16-0); [Saygin et al., 2013](#page-16-0); [Yeatman et al., 2012a](#page-18-0), [2012b](#page-18-0)).

The AF and SLF have also been linked to cognitive abilities in healthy children and adolescents, including attention and spatial working memory [\(Urger et al., 2015](#page-17-0); [Vestergaard](#page-17-0) [et al., 2011](#page-17-0)). Traditionally, the left SLF had been implicated in working memory abilities ([Vestergaard et al., 2011](#page-17-0)), with reported associations between spatial working memory and FA in the left SLF in healthy children 7–13 years old ([Vestergaard et al., 2011\)](#page-17-0). Similarly, FA in the left SLF was predictive of verbal working memory in healthy children 8–19 years old ([Østby et al., 2011\)](#page-16-0) and healthy adults ([Koshiyama et al., 2020](#page-15-0)). Taken together, the existing evidence shows altered structural connectivity related to reading in children with RDs. However, it is unclear whether there are shared white matter tracts for reading and EFs in general and working memory, visual attention and shifting/inhibition in particular in RDs.

Therefore, the current study aims to determine the structural differences in children with RDs versus TR related to their reading and EF abilities, focusing on tracts associated with both. Structural connectivity studies examining the neurobiological correlates for EFs have pointed

Diffusion tensor imaging (DTI): An advanced, noninvasive magnetic resonance imaging modality focused on the study of water diffusion in white matter fibers; provides information about the microstructure of the fibers, including orientation, degree of myelination and axonal density.

Fractional anisotropy (FA): Fractional anisotropy is a common measure often used in diffusion imaging and often used to quantify white matter integrity; is thought to reflect fiber density, axonal diameter, and fiber myelination with values that range from 0 (highly isotropic poor white matter integrity) to 1 (highly anisotropic—good white matter integrity).

to the left SLF, AF, and the ILF as the key structures associated with reading alterations [\(Farah](#page-14-0) [et al., 2020](#page-14-0); [Muetzel et al., 2008;](#page-16-0) [Pavuluri et al., 2009](#page-16-0); [Peters et al., 2014;](#page-16-0) [Tamnes et al.,](#page-17-0) [2012\)](#page-17-0), and therefore these tracts were chosen in the current study. We hypothesized that children with RDs will demonstrate decreased reading and EF abilities (including working memory, switching/inhibition and visual attention) and alterations in tracts related to these abilities. We also hypothesized that variability in white matter microstructure pertaining to reading and EF abilities, more specifically the left SLF in children with RDs (per [Wang et al., 2016\)](#page-18-0), would predict working memory ability mediated by reading ability, indicating shared structural components. We suspect that better working memory ability in children with RDs will be influenced by better reading, echoing previous reports [\(Demoulin & Kolinsky, 2016\)](#page-14-0).

METHODS

Participants

Children with RDs ($n = 22$, 10 females) and typical readers (TRs) ($n = 24$, 12 females) participated in the study, ages 8–12 years old with no significant mean age difference between the groups ($t(44) = -1.183$, $p = 0.243$). All participants were monolingual native English speakers with no neurological impairments or psychiatric history. Attention difficulties were exclusionary for this study and were determined using the Conners questionnaires ([Goyette](#page-14-0) [et al., 1978\)](#page-14-0). Children in the RD group were diagnosed with RDs prior to study participation and demonstrated a standard score of −1 and below in at least two reading tasks from the reading tests in the "behavioral measures" list (following [Kovelman et al., 2012;](#page-15-0) also see Behavioral Measures section). Both groups participated in the behavioral and neuroimaging sessions. Informed consents and assents were signed by parents and participants. The Institutional Review Board reviewed and approved the study in Cincinnati Children's Hospital Medical Center, Ohio, USA.

Behavioral Measures

General abilities. General nonverbal abilities were measured using the Test of Nonverbal Intel-ligence (TONI) [\(Brown et al., 2010](#page-13-0)), and verbal abilities were measured using the Peabody Picture Vocabulary Test (PPVT) ([Dunn & Dunn, 2007\)](#page-14-0).

Reading measures. Reading and reading-related abilities were assessed using the following reading assessments: (1) phonological processing, using the Elision subtest, Comprehensive Test of Phonological Processing (CTOPP; [Wagner et al., 2013](#page-17-0)); (2) automatic word reading using the sight word efficiency (SWE), Test of Word Reading Efficiency (TOWRE; [Torgesen](#page-17-0) [et al., 1999\)](#page-17-0); (3) automatic phonological decoding efficiency subtest (TOWRE; [Torgesen](#page-17-0) [et al., 1999](#page-17-0)); (4) nontimed word reading using the orthographical subtest (letter-word) (WJ III; [Woodcock et al., 2001](#page-18-0)); and (5) nontimed decoding using the word-attack subtest (WJ III; [Woodcock et al., 2001](#page-18-0)).

Executive functions measures. EF abilities were assessed using the following age-normalized measures: (1) working memory (Digit Span, the Wechsler Intelligence Scale for Children, WISC; [Wechsler, 2012\)](#page-18-0); (2) switching/inhibition (DKEF Stroop, Color-Word Condition 3; [Delis](#page-14-0) [et al., 2001\)](#page-14-0); (3) visual-spatial attention (Test of Everyday Attention for Children, TEA-Ch, Sky Search subtest; [Manly et al., 1999](#page-15-0)); (4) overall EF skills (the Behavior Rating Inventory of Executive Function, BRIEF; [Gioia et al., 2000\)](#page-14-0).

Behavioral Data Analysis

Independent samples t tests were used examine differences on the reading and EF tests between the two reading groups.

Neuroimaging Data Acquisition and Procedures

Data were acquired using a 3-Tesla Philips Achieva scanner. A three-dimensional T1-weighted inversion recovery prepared anatomical whole-brain scan, MPRAGE sequence was acquired with the following parameters: $TR/TE = 8.1/3.7$ ms; matrix 256×224 ; 160 slices in the sagittal direction, 1.0-mm isotropic voxels; scan time: 5 m, 15 s. Diffusion data was acquired using a single-shot spin-echo, echo planar imaging with $TR/TE = 6,652.446/82.60$ ms, 61 gradient directions plus 7 b0 images, and b-value of 1,000 s/mm^2 , slice thickness = 2 mm, voxel size = $2 \times 2 \times 2$ mm, field of view = $224 \times 120 \times 224$ mm, for a total scan time of 7 m, 25 s.

Participants were acclimated and desensitized to prepare for comfortable testing inside the MRI Philips scanner (for the desensitization procedure, see [Kraus & Horowitz-Kraus, 2022\)](#page-15-0). Elastic straps were attached to either side of the head-coil apparatus, and a headband strap was put on the child's forehead to control head motion. Headphones equipped with a built-in microphone were used to establish verbal communication between the child and the study coordinator, and video monitoring was used to assess the child's state and movement inside the scanner.

Neuroimaging Data Analyses

Preprocessing of the T1-weighted structural image included bias correction using the N4 algorithm ([Tustison et al., 2010\)](#page-17-0) as executed in the Advanced Normalization Tools toolbox. Removal of nonbrain tissue was completed using the Oxford Center for Functional MRI of the Brain brain extraction tool ([Smith, 2002](#page-17-0)), after which the brain mask was applied to the original structural volume and bias correction using the N4 algorithm was repeated on the brain-extracted volume. Segmentation was performed using the FSL FIRST to produce a 3-class tissue [\(Zhang et al., 2001\)](#page-18-0).

DTI data were processed using the Vistalab diffusion MRI software suite (Stanford Vision and Imaging Science and Technology) as part of the open-source mrDiffusion package: [https://white.stanford.edu/software.](http://white.stanford.edu/software) DTI images were aligned to the motion-corrected mean of the nondiffusion-weighted ($b = 0$) images by using a rigid body algorithm. Following realignment, DTI images were then resampled to 2-mm isotropic voxels with eddy current and motion correction using a seventh-order b-spline algorithm based on statistical parameter mapping. Finally, the diffusion tensors were fitted to the resampled DTI data by using a leastsquares fit and the RESTORE (robust estimation of tensors by outlier rejection) algorithm ([Chang et al., 2005](#page-14-0)). The diffusion tensor model produces measures describing the diffusion characteristics of each voxel. Eigenvalues (λ_1 , λ_2 , λ_3) from the diffusion tensor were used to compute FA ($\sqrt{(1/2)}\sqrt{((\lambda_1 - \lambda_2)2 + (\lambda_3 - \lambda_2)2 + (\lambda_3 - \lambda_1)2)/(\lambda_{12} + \lambda_{22} + \lambda_{32})}$ ([Pierpaoli et al.,](#page-16-0) [1996\)](#page-16-0).

Using an in-house pipeline utilizing automatic fiber quantification (AFQ) software tool ([Yeatman et al., 2012a,](#page-18-0) [2012b\)](#page-18-0), the target white matter tracts in the brain of each participant were identified. Target white matter tracts included the AF, SLF, and ILF. Several processing steps were applied for each of the tracts including (1) whole-brain tractography, (2) regionof-interest (ROI)-based fiber tract segmentation and cleaning using a statistical outlier rejection algorithm, and (3) FA quantification. For tracking of target fasciculi, an initial seed point within

Automatic fiber quantification (AFQ): A popular software that identifies, automatically, major fiber tracts in the brain and quantifies tissue properties at multiple locations along the tract length, hence creating a Tract Profile.

the white matter mask was detected, and streamlines in both directions along the principal diffusion axes were traced. Tracing was terminated under two standard criteria: (1) if the FA at the current location was less than 0.2 and (2) if the minimum angle between the last path segment and next step direction is greater than 30 degrees ([Yeatman et al., 2012a](#page-18-0), [2012b\)](#page-18-0). Each fiber tract was sampled to 99 equidistant nodes, and the spread of fibers at each node was represented as a three-dimensional Gaussian distribution. Fibers that were more than 5 standard deviations from the mean of the tract were removed. This procedure was repeated until no fiber outliers existed. Next, a quantification phase was conducted following the initial AFQ processes, where the diffusion properties were calculated by interpolating the FA values along the trajectory of the fiber group. Finally, mean and variance were calculated 'within' and 'between' groups for FA in each tract in the current analysis. The characteristics evaluated for each node and tract were later used to compare the groups.

Diffusion Data Analysis: Group Comparison

Fractional anisotropy tract profile comparison between the groups. For the statistical analyses of FA, cluster-based analyses were conducted for the 99 nodes between each tract's defining ROIs ([Yeatman et al., 2012a](#page-18-0), [2012b](#page-18-0)). The analyses were performed using independent samples t tests comparing RD and TR groups.

To compare tract profiles between the RD and TR groups, multiple two-tailed t tests were conducted, and a permutation-based multiple comparisons correction ([Nichols & Holmes,](#page-16-0) 2002) was used to calculate clusters with adjacent t tests with significant differences between the groups. Significance was corrected for multiple comparisons, and the corrected alpha was set to 0.05 [\(Nichols & Holmes, 2002;](#page-16-0) [Yeatman et al., 2012a,](#page-18-0) [2012b\)](#page-18-0). The clusters that were reported showed the following criteria: (1) all neighboring nodes were significantly different $(p < 0.05$, uncorrected) between the groups and (2) the cluster of significant values was larger than the critical cluster size generated by the permutation-based multiple comparisons correction [\(Nichols & Holmes, 2002;](#page-16-0) [Yeatman et al., 2012a](#page-18-0), [2012b\)](#page-18-0).

Correlations Between Diffusion and Behavioral Measures in the Reading and EF-Related Tracts

Using a Statistical Package for the Social Sciences (SPSS for Windows, version 24), correlation analyses were conducted between the average FA in clusters showing significant group differences and the participants' (1) reading measure (TOWRE-SWE) and (2) EFs for each group separately. Normality was assessed based on Kolmogorov–Smirnoff [\(Corder & Foreman,](#page-14-0) [2009\)](#page-14-0). Pearson correlation was reported when both correlation variables had normal distribution, and Spearman correlation was used for cases where the measures were not normally distributed in a specific group. Multiple correlations were corrected using a Bonferroni correction ($p < 0.05$). Fisher z-transformations assessed the significance of differences between the correlation coefficients measured in each group separately and the differences in correlations between the groups [\(Sheskin, 2004\)](#page-17-0).

Moderated Mediation Analysis

A moderated mediation analysis was conducted to test if the relationship between FA in the left SLF and working memory performance was mediated by reading ability and moderated by group (RD vs. TR) ([Hayes, 2013](#page-14-0)). Therefore, our hypothetical model links FA in the left SLF to working memory via an indirect path that includes reading ability (as a mediator), as a function of group (moderator), resulting in a conditional indirect effect. Bootstrapping biascorrected confidence intervals were used with 10,000 bootstrap samples to test the null

Permutation-based multiple comparisons correction: A nonparametric permutation approach that provides a flexible approach to account for the multiple comparisons problem implicit in the standard neuroimaging data analysis by utilizing a locally pooled (smoothed) variance estimate.

Fisher z-transformation:

The Fisher z-transformation is a formula to transform the sampling distribution of Pearson's r (i.e., the correlation coefficient) so that it becomes normally distributed and can be used to calculate a confidence interval for Pearson's correlation coefficient.

Moderated mediation analysis: The process of uncovering the relationship between a dependent (X) and independent (Y) variable that is transmitted through a mediator (M) variable and is conditional on values of a moderating variable (W).

Figure 1. Conceptual moderated mediation model for the predicting role of white matter microstructure on working memory ability mediated by reading ability and moderated by group. Predictor: FA of the left SLF, Outcome: working memory, mediator: reading ability, moderator: reading group.

hypothesis (i.e., the indirect effect of FA in the left SLF on working memory is not significant). When zero falls within the confidence intervals, the null hypothesis is accepted. PROCESS macro (version 3.4) for SPSS was used for data analysis ([Hayes, 2013\)](#page-14-0). The index of moderated mediation was used [\(Hayes, 2015](#page-14-0)), and bootstrapping bias-corrected intervals was used to test its significance. Figure 1 illustrates the second stage moderated mediation model to be tested.

RESULTS

Behavioral Measures

General abilities. No significant differences between children with RDs and TRs were observed in general cognitive abilities and attention abilities (measured by the Conners). Decreased general language ability (as measured by the PPVT test) was found in children with RDs versus TRs (see [Table 1;](#page-7-0) data was corrected for multiple comparisons using a Bonferroni correction $p < 0.05$).

Reading abilities. Children with RDs demonstrated significantly decreased reading abilities versus TR (timed and nontimed word and nonword reading subtests, reading fluency subtests, and phonological processing tests). See [Table 1](#page-7-0) for these results.

Executive functions. Children with RDs showed significantly decreased EF abilities in several subdomains: switching/inhibition (DKEF subtest), working memory (WISC Digit Span test), and overall EF skills (BRIEF), and visual attention (Sky Search visual attention test from the TEA-Ch battery). Note that for the BRIEF questionnaire, a higher score is related to a lower EF ability. See [Table 1.](#page-7-0)

Correlations Between Reading Abilities and Behavioral Executive Functions Across Both Groups

Overall, greater reading scores were associated with greater EF abilities across both groups. Specifically, significant correlations were found between automatic reading ability (TOWRE-SWE) and inhibition ($r = 0.598$, $p < 0.001$, measured by the Color-Word subtest, DKEF); working memory ($r = 0.55$, $p < 0.001$, measured by Digit Span test, WISC); General EF score ($r =$ -0.5 , $p < 0.001$, GEC test, BRIEF); and visual-spatial attention ($r = 0.398$, $p = 0.006$, Sky Search Attention test, TEA-Ch). Data were corrected for multiple comparisons using a Bonferroni correction at $p < 0.05$.

Diffusion Tensor Imaging Results—Comparison of Fractional Anisotropy Values in Reading and EF-Related Tracts Between the Groups

Fractional Anisotropy: Overall, children with RDs showed significantly lower FA in the left AF, ILF, and SLF, compared to TRs. See [Table 2](#page-7-0) and [Figure 2](#page-8-0) for the number of significant nodes per tract and the comparisons between the groups.

Note. PPVT, Peabody Picture Vocabulary Test; TONI, Test of Nonverbal Intelligence; TOWRE, Test of Word Reading Efficiency; WJ, Woodcock-Johnson; CTOPP, Comprehensive Test of Phonological Processing; DKEF, Delis-Kaplan Executive Function System; BRIEF, Behavior Rating Inventory of Executive Function; TEA-Ch, Test of Everyday Attention for Children. Results are presented as mean (standard deviation). *p < 0.05; **, p < 0.01; ***, p < 0.001. Note that a higher score in the BRIEF represents lower abilities.

Note. Location of node clusters with a significant difference in fractional anisotropy values between children with reading difficulties (RDs) and typical readers (TRs). Results are shown as a total number of nodes with significant differences (specific location along the tract denoted by the node numbers). The contrast column shows the directionality between the groups in these clusters. AF, arcuate fasciculus; SLF, superior longitudinal fasciculus; ILF, inferior longitudinal fasciculus.

Figure 2. Location of significant node clusters with significant difference between children with reading difficulties and typical readers (red) in the left arcuate fasciculus (A), left superior longitudinal fasciculus (B) and left inferior longitudinal fasciculus (C).

Correlations of Reading Ability and Fractional Anisotropy—Correlations Between Reading (TOWRE-SWE) and Fractional Anisotropy Cluster Values

Higher reading ability was associated with higher FA values in the left SLF in children with RDs $(r = 0.712, p < 0.001)$. No significant correlation was found between FA in the left SLF and reading in TRs ($r = -0.132$, $p = 0.270$). See Table 3.

Children with RDs versus TRs: The correlation coefficients calculated in the two groups differed significantly (Fisher's $z = 3.43$, $p < 0.001$); that is, children with RDs showed a greater positive correlation between FA in the left SLF and reading compared to TRs. See [Table 4.](#page-9-0)

	Behavioral ability	Behavioral Measure	Left AF $r(p)$	Left SLF $r(p)$	Left ILF $r(p)$
Reading Difficulties	Reading	TOWRE-SWE	$-0.34(0.06)$	0.712 (<0.001)	0.04(0.42)
	Switching/inhibition	DKEF Color-Word Condition 3	$-0.36(0.05)$	0.31(0.08)	0.32(0.07)
	Working Memory	WISC Digit Span	$-0.06(0.39)$	0.68(0.002)	$-0.23(0.15)$
	General EF	BRIEF GEC	0.012(0.47)	0.14(0.28)	$-0.19(0.21)$
	Visual-Spatial Attention	TEA-Ch Sky Search	$-0.16(0.24)$	0.24(0.14)	0.12(0.29)
Typical Readers	Reading	TOWRE-SWE	0.02(0.46)	$-0.13(0.27)$	0.16(0.23)
	Switching/inhibition	DKEF Color-Word Condition 3	$-0.02(0.46)$	$-0.3(0.08)$	0.14(0.25)
	Working Memory	WISC Digit Span	$-0.06(0.39)$	0.18(0.19)	0.02(0.46)
	General EF	BRIEF GEC	0.09(0.33)	$-0.16(0.22)$	0.36(0.04)
	Visual-Spatial Attention	TEA-Ch Sky Search	0.21(0.16)	0.29(0.08)	0.32(0.07)

Table 3. Correlations between reading, executive functions, and fractional anisotropy cluster values in children with reading difficulties and typical readers in temporo-parietal white matter tracts

Note. Reading, TOWRE sight word reading, inhibition – DKEF condition 3, working memory – WISC Digit Span, general EFs – BRIEF GEC, visual attention – TEA-Ch Sky Search. Results are presented as correlation coefficients (p value). AF, arcuate fasciculus; SLF, superior longitudinal fasciculus; ILF, inferior longitudinal fasciculus. Significant results are bolded. Note that a negative correlation coefficient for the correlation of FA with the BRIEF test was noted as a positive correlation with ability since lower BRIEF scores suggest less EF difficulties.

Note. Reading, Test of word reading efficiency (TOWRE), working memory – Wechsler Intelligence Scale for Children (WISC), Digit Span task. Results are presented as z-score (p value). AF, arcuate fasciculus; SLF, superior longitudinal fasciculus; ILF, inferior longitudinal fasciculus. Significant results surviving multiple comparisons are bolded.

Correlations Between Executive Functions and Fractional Anisotropy—Correlations Between Executive Functions Measures and Fractional Anisotropy Cluster Values

A significant positive correlation was found between working memory and FA in the left SLF cluster in children with RDs ($r = 0.612$, $p = 0.005$) but not in TRs ($r = 0.184$, $p = 0.195$). In the left ILF, a trend was observed; however, it did not reach significance following the control for false discovery rate. See [Table 3.](#page-8-0)

For children with RDs versus TRs, A direct comparison of the correlation coefficient values between the groups using Fisher's z-transformation was conducted. The results suggest that the magnitude of the correlation coefficient for children with RDs significantly exceeded that of TRs in working memory (left SLF cluster) and cognitive flexibility (left ILF cluster). See Table 4.

Moderated Mediation Analysis

Table 5 summarizes the overall model (regression coefficients, standard errors, t value, and significance).

Table 5. Statistics of the moderated mediation model

Group	Effect	Boot SE	95% CI	Significance	
0 (RD)	6.51	3.71	1.34, 15.76	Significant	
1 (TR)	-2.94	3.07	$-9.79, 2.401$	Nonsignificant	

Table 6. Conditional indirect effects of FA on working memory through reading ability at values of the moderator (group)

Higher FA in the left SLF was associated with higher reading score ($b = 298.71$, $p < 0.05$). Higher FA in the left SLF was not directly associated with higher working memory scores (direct effect; $b = 4.05$, $p = 0.498$). The group variable significantly moderated the relationship between SLF and reading (b = -176.89 , $p = 0.043$). However, the interaction only estimated the effect of FA in left SLF on reading by group and did not quantify the relationship between the moderator and the indirect effect.

Therefore, a formal test of moderated mediation was conducted, given by the index of moderated mediation ([Hayes, 2015](#page-14-0)). The indirect effect of FA in the left SLF on working memory through timed word reading was dependent on the group and proved significant, as the bootstrap confidence interval (CI) of the index of moderated mediation did not contain zero (index = -9.46 , S.E. = 5.22 ; 95% CI -0.02 , -1.78).

Table 6 presents the conditional indirect effect at two values of the dichotomous moderator: zero (RD group) and 1 (TR group). The findings indicated that higher FA in the left SLF led to higher working memory scores through higher reading scores only in the RD group.

DISCUSSION

The goal of the current study was to determine the shared structural alterations associated with EFs and reading in children with RDs. Per our hypotheses, the results demonstrated that children with RDs showed lower reading and EF abilities associated with decreased FA in the left SLF and ILF. Furthermore, our results support previous studies pointing at reduced FA in the left AF and SLF (dorsal pathway, phonology, and working memory-related) and ILF (ventral path-way, semantic/orthography related) [\(Rimrodt et al., 2010;](#page-16-0) [Steinbrink et al., 2008;](#page-17-0) [Su et al.,](#page-17-0) [2018;](#page-17-0) [Vandermosten et al., 2012,](#page-17-0) [2015](#page-17-0)). Support for the inferiority of children with RDs utilizing their left hemisphere was also found in the current study: children with RDs have shown lower FA in left temporo-parietal regions compared to TR. However, those with RDs who read better utilized their left hemisphere more: a higher positive correlation of reading and EFs with FA in the left SLF and ILF. These results will be discussed in depth in the context of the "extended" Simple View of Reading model [\(Cutting et al., 2015\)](#page-14-0), outlining the involvement of EFs, and especially working memory, and switching/inhibition in the reading process in these readers.

The Relations Between Executive Functions and Reading in Children with RDs

Children with RDs have shown lower EF abilities correlated with their reading. This echoes previous studies that examined the role of EFs in children with RDs in general and in reading in particular ([Brady et al., 1983](#page-13-0); [Jorm, 1979;](#page-15-0) [Reiter et al., 2005;](#page-16-0) [Varvara et al., 2014\)](#page-17-0). Our study was built upon previous findings, which demonstrated that reading abilities in children with RDs are related to decreased EF ability compared to their TR counterparts ([Bailey et al., 2018](#page-13-0); [Farah et al., 2019](#page-14-0); [Haft et al., 2019;](#page-14-0) [Horowitz-Kraus et al., 2014;](#page-15-0) [Mercedes & Cutting, 2020\)](#page-16-0). The findings in the current study strengthen the "extended" Simple View of Reading model ([Cutting et al., 2015](#page-14-0)), outlining the involvement of EFs in the reading process, especially the connection between working memory, switching/inhibition, and word decoding/recognition ([Spencer et al., 2020\)](#page-17-0). A recent study has demonstrated how an EF-based reading training had a positive effect on EFs, reading, and increased functional connectivity between neural circuits, supporting both reading and EF (fusiform gyrus and the dorsal part of the anterior cingulate cortex) in children with RDs ([Horowitz-Kraus & Holland, 2015](#page-15-0)). This further supports the reliance of word reading on EFs in children with RDs and their close association to their reading difficulties. Future studies are warranted to examine the effect of an EF-based reading intervention on tracts related to reading and EFs in children with RDs and TRs.

Reduced Engagement of the Left Hemisphere in Children With RDs

Our results suggest that children with RDs demonstrated lower FA in left temporo-parietal tracts compared to children with TR. In support of our results, the findings of alteration in structural connectivity in the corpus callosum, forceps major, and vertical occipital fasciculus in participants with RDs versus TRs were previously reported in Finnish as well as in Chinese speakers (specifically in the left inferior fronto-occipital fasciculus, cerebellar pathways, and thalamo-pontine tracts and the posterior isthmus and anterior splenium of the corpus callosum) [\(Sihvonen et al., 2021](#page-17-0); [Wang et al., 2019](#page-18-0)) . However, whereas these studies examined the correlations between these alterations with phonological processing (English) and Chinese characters and auditory processing in the Chinese cohort, our study extends these findings also to include the correlation between SLF and working memory and switching/inhibition abilities.

It is important to mention, though, that a recent activation likelihood estimation metaanalysis on adult and pediatric populations focusing on voxel-based analysis and employing more drastic corrections for multiple comparisons reported no reliable differences between children with RDs and TRs in FA [\(Moreau et al., 2018](#page-16-0)). In addition to the rigor and correction resulting from a whole-brain analysis, this study also included a wide range of age groups, which have variable reading abilities and disorders and might have diminished the difference between groups in that study and reported in the current one. Previous studies documented decreased activation/hypoactivation in left temporo-parietal and right prefrontal gray matter regions associated with the reading network in individuals with RDs [\(Hoeft et al., 2011;](#page-15-0) [Waldie](#page-17-0) [et al., 2013](#page-17-0)). In addition to brain activation, individuals of all ages with RDs also showed decreased gray matter volume and altered sulci patterns in left occipito-temporal and temporo-parietal brain regions compared with TRs [\(Hoeft et al., 2007;](#page-15-0) [Im et al., 2016;](#page-15-0) [Pernet](#page-16-0) [et al., 2009](#page-16-0); [Richlan et al., 2009](#page-16-0)). These reported findings might be related to the reduced FA in the left hemisphere found in the current study in readers with RDs; however, this should be further examined. A joint functional MRI-DTI study is needed to verify this point.

Our results also show a higher positive correlation between reading, working memory, switching/inhibition, and FA in the left SLF in children with RDs compared to TRs. The correlation comparisons provide structural support to the previously suggested role of the left hemi-sphere in reading and EF abilities ([Barbey et al., 2012](#page-13-0); [Gonzalez et al., 2014](#page-14-0); [Hunter & Sparrow,](#page-15-0) [2012;](#page-15-0) [Illingworth & Bishop, 2009;](#page-15-0) [Leonard & Eckert, 2008](#page-15-0); [Shaywitz et al., 1998\)](#page-17-0). Our findings suggest that higher FA in left temporo-parietal tracts (ILF and SLF) among children with RDs is related to better reading and EF abilities in this population. These results are complemented by previous studies showing adjacent gray matter volume indices in the left occipito-temporal and temporo-parietal areas in children with RDs, which correlate positively with reading and reading-related skills [\(He et al., 2013;](#page-14-0) [Kronbichler et al., 2008](#page-15-0)). The correlations found between the FA in the SLF and working memory scores is supported by other findings related to the role of the left inferior parietal lobule in working memory/verbal memory processing (e.g., Borst $&$ [Anderson, 2013](#page-13-0)). The researchers reported a correspondence between updating working memory and activation in the inferior parietal lobule. As the SLF interconnects the inferior parietal regions with the frontal lobe and subserves fronto-parietal network crucial for efficient working memory (and EFs), our results correspond with Borst and Anderson regarding the relations of the SLF to working memory and switching/inhibition abilities. Moreover, the connection between the ILF and EFs, echoes previous findings [\(Fjell et al., 2016\)](#page-14-0) and can be explained by the anatomy of the ILF connecting the occipital and more anterior brain regions through the temporal lobe, all associated with parts of the reading network ([Cohen & Dehaene, 2009;](#page-14-0) [Dehaene, 2009\)](#page-14-0) and EF networks [\(Dosenbach et al., 2008\)](#page-14-0). It would be interesting to conduct a multimodal functionalstructural MRI study to confirm the functional alterations in reading and EF networks with the structural data in children with RDs. Importantly, the lack of association between the selected white matter tracts and EFs and reading in TRs, may point at alternative network recruitment needed to excel in these abilities among this population. It might be that for these readers, word reading level is relatively automatic and therefore does not demand the recruitment of EFs. However, the utilization of EF and reading-related neural circuits might be needed for contextual reading and reading comprehension [\(Meri et al., 2020\)](#page-16-0). This was also observed in [Meri](#page-16-0) [et al. \(2020\)](#page-16-0) in an fMRI-based study focusing on reading comprehension and EF networks in TRs and children with RDs.

Mediating Effect of Reading on the Relation Between FA in the Left SLF and Working Memory in Children with RDs

The data of the current study provide support for poor reading ability as a common neuropsychological deficit that links FA in the left SLF and working memory ability among children with RDs. The results indicated that FA in the left SLF was related to reading ability, which in turn influenced working memory ability, and that this indirect effect was moderated by the reading group (RD vs. TR). More specifically, the indirect effect of FA in the left SLF on working memory through reading was significant only in children with RDs but not in the TR group. Per our hypothesis, better working memory ability in children with RDs was influenced by better reading, as learning to read might shape immediate memory [\(Demoulin & Kolinsky, 2016\)](#page-14-0), also suggested by Nick Ellis about 30 years ago [\(Ellis, 1990\)](#page-14-0). In beginner TRs, the intensive practice of decoding might enhance cumulative rehearsal (a strategy used in verbal memory), which in turn might lead to better sequential order memory performance. Additionally, the emergence of phonemic awareness and of orthographic representations might enhance the quality and precision of the language representations, which, in turn, would improve the encoding and retrieval of item information [\(Demoulin & Kolinsky, 2016](#page-14-0)). It is possible that children with RDs in the current study might be using strategies of beginning readers. Hence, better reading ability influenced better working memory predicted by FA in the left SLF. In contrast, TRs in the current study did not show this mediating effect of reading on the relationship between FA in the left SLF and working memory ability. The mediation analysis was also conducted while using SLF, working memory, and reading in other directions to test whether working memory mediated the relationship between FA in the SLF and reading ability, but no significant results were found. Hence, we can conclude that the only meaningful relations between the variables is when reading ability is a mediator for the relationship between FA in the left SLF and working memory ability.

Limitations

Our results should be considered with the following limitation. Even though AFQ provides a method to assess variance within tracts, using the tensors model in AFQ entails discarding the small branches in the tract and crossing fibers in a voxel. Future studies utilizing more sophisticated methods for diffusivity analysis algorithms such as neurite orientation dispersion and density imaging (NORDI) (Barritt et al., 2018), as well as CHARMED, AxCaliber, or ActiveAx (Assaf & Alexander, 2014) are warranted.

Conclusions

In summary, our results show the localized white matter tract differences between children with RDs and TRs overall and in relation to EFs and reading. These findings provide structural support to the involvement of EFs and especially of working memory, switching/inhibition in the extended Simple View of Reading model, and specifically in relation to single-word reading.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The authors would like to thank the families participating in the current study.

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

Rola Farah: Formal analysis; Visualization; Writing – original draft; Writing – review & editing. Noam Glukhovsky: Writing – original draft; Writing – review & editing. Keri Rosch: Writing – review & editing. Tzipi Horowitz-Kraus: Conceptualization; Data curation; Funding acquisition; Methodology; Project administration; Resources; Supervision; Writing – review & editing.

FUNDING INFORMATION

Tzipi Horowitz-Kraus, National Institute of Child Health and Human Development [\(https://dx](http://dx.doi.org/10.13039/100000071) [.doi.org/10.13039/100000071](http://dx.doi.org/10.13039/100000071)), Award ID: HD086011.

REFERENCES

- Anderson, P. (2002). Assessment and development of executive function (EF) during childhood. Child Neuropsychology, 8(2), 71-82. <https://doi.org/10.1076/chin.8.2.71.8724>, PubMed: [12638061](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/12638061)
- Anwander, A., Tittgemeyer, M., von Cramon, D. Y., Friederici, A. D., & Knosche, T. R. (2007). Connectivity-based parcellation of Broca's area. Cerebral Cortex, 17(4), 816-825. [https://doi.org](https://doi.org/10.1093/cercor/bhk034) [/10.1093/cercor/bhk034,](https://doi.org/10.1093/cercor/bhk034) PubMed: [16707738](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/16707738)
- Assaf, Y., & Alexander, D. C. (2014). Advanced methods to study white matter microstructure. In Quantitative MRI of the spinal cord (pp. 156–163). Amsterdam, the Netherlands: Elsevier. <https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-12-396973-6.00011-3>
- Backes, W., Vuurman, E., Wennekes, R., Spronk, P., Wuisman, M., van Engelshoven, J., & Jolles, J. (2002). Atypical brain activation of reading processes in children with developmental dyslexia. Journal of Child Neurology, 17(12), 867-871. [https://doi.org/10](https://doi.org/10.1177/08830738020170121601) [.1177/08830738020170121601](https://doi.org/10.1177/08830738020170121601), PubMed: [12593457](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/12593457)
- Bailey, S. K., Aboud, K. S., Nguyen, T. Q., & Cutting, L. E. (2018). Applying a network framework to the neurobiology of reading and dyslexia. Journal of Neurodevelopmental Disorders, 10(1), 37. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s11689-018-9251-z>, PubMed: [30541433](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/30541433)
- Barbey, A. K., Colom, R., Solomon, J., Krueger, F., Forbes, C., & Grafman, J. (2012). An integrative architecture for general intelligence and executive function revealed by lesion mapping.

Brain, 135(Pt 4), 1154–1164. [https://doi.org/10.1093/brain](https://doi.org/10.1093/brain/aws021) [/aws021,](https://doi.org/10.1093/brain/aws021) PubMed: [22396393](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/22396393)

- Barritt, A. W., Gabel, M. C., Cercignani, M., & Leigh, P. N. (2018). Emerging magnetic resonance imaging techniques and analysis methods in amyotrophic lateral sclerosis. Frontiers in Neurology, 9, 1065. [https://doi.org/10.3389/fneur.2018.01065,](https://doi.org/10.3389/fneur.2018.01065) PubMed: [30564192](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/30564192)
- Borst, J. P., & Anderson, J. R. (2013). Using model-based functional MRI to locate working memory updates and declarative memory retrievals in the fronto-parietal network. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, 110(5), 1628-1633. [https://doi](https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1221572110) [.org/10.1073/pnas.1221572110,](https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1221572110) PubMed: [23319628](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/23319628)
- Brady, S., Shankweiler, D., & Mann, V. (1983). Speech perception and memory coding in relation to reading ability. Journal of Experimental Child Psychology, 35(2), 345–367. [https://doi.org](https://doi.org/10.1016/0022-0965(83)90087-5) [/10.1016/0022-0965\(83\)90087-5,](https://doi.org/10.1016/0022-0965(83)90087-5) PubMed: [6842131](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/6842131)
- Brosnan, M., Demetre, J., Hamill, S., Robson, K., Shepherd, H., & Cody, G. (2002). Executive functioning in adults and children with developmental dyslexia. Neuropsychologia, 40(12), 2144–2155. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0028-3932\(02\)00046-5,](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0028-3932(02)00046-5) PubMed: [12208010](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/12208010)
- Brown, L., Sherbenou, R. J., & Johnsen, S. K. (2010). Test of nonverbal intelligence: TONI-4. Austin, TX: Pro-ed.
- Buchweitz, A., Costa, A. C., Toazza, R., de Moraes, A. B., Cara, V. M., Esper, N. B., … Franco, A. R. (2019). Decoupling of the occipitotemporal cortex and the brain's default-mode network in dyslexia and a role for the cingulate cortex in good readers: A brain imaging study of brazilian children. Developmental Neuropsychology, 44(1), 146–157. [https://doi.org/10.1080/87565641](https://doi.org/10.1080/87565641.2017.1292516) [.2017.1292516](https://doi.org/10.1080/87565641.2017.1292516), PubMed: [29412010](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/29412010)
- Carter, J. C., Lanham, D. C., Cutting, L. E., Clements-Stephens, A. M., Chen, X., Hadzipasic, M., … Kaufmann, W. E. (2009). A dual DTI approach to analyzing white matter in children with dyslexia. Psychiatry Research, 172(3), 215-219. [https://doi.org](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pscychresns.2008.09.005) [/10.1016/j.pscychresns.2008.09.005,](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pscychresns.2008.09.005) PubMed: [19346108](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/19346108)
- Chang, L. C., Jones, D. K., & Pierpaoli, C. (2005). RESTORE: Robust estimation of tensors by outlier rejection. Magnetic Resonance in Medicine, 53(5), 1088–1095. [https://doi.org/10.1002/mrm](https://doi.org/10.1002/mrm.20426) [.20426,](https://doi.org/10.1002/mrm.20426) PubMed: [15844157](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/15844157)
- Cirino, P. T., Miciak, J., Ahmed, Y., Barnes, M. A., Taylor, W. P., & Gerst, E. H. (2019). Executive function: Association with multiple reading skills. Reading and Writing, 32(7), 1819–1846. [https://doi](https://doi.org/10.1007/s11145-018-9923-9) [.org/10.1007/s11145-018-9923-9,](https://doi.org/10.1007/s11145-018-9923-9) PubMed: [31680727](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/31680727)
- Cohen, L., & Dehaene, S. (2009). Ventral and dorsal contributions to word reading. In E. B. M S Gazzaniga et al. (Eds.), The cognitive neurosciences (pp. 789–804). Cambridge, MA: Massachusetts Institute of Technology Press.
- Corder, G. W., & Foreman, D. I. (2009). Nonparametric statistics for non-statisticians: A step-by-step approach. Hoboken, NJ: Wiley. <https://doi.org/10.1002/9781118165881>
- Cummine, J., Dai, W., Borowsky, R., Gould, L., Rollans, C., & Boliek, C. (2015). Investigating the ventral-lexical, dorsalsublexical model of basic reading processes using diffusion tensor imaging. Brain Structure and Function, 220(1), 445–455. [https://](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00429-013-0666-8) [doi.org/10.1007/s00429-013-0666-8,](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00429-013-0666-8) PubMed: [24189777](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/24189777)
- Cutting, L., Bailey, S., Swett, K., & Barquero, L. A. (2015). Neurobiological basis of word recognition and reading comprehension: Distinctions, overlaps, and implications for instruction and intervention. In C. C. McCardle (Ed.), Reading intervention: From research to practice to research. Baltimore, MD: Brookes Publishing.
- Dehaene, S. (2009). Reading in the brain: The new science of how we read. New York, NY: Penguin.
- Delis, D. C., Kaplan, E., & Kramer, J. H. (2001). Delis-Kaplan executive function system (D-KEFS): Examiner's manual: Flexibility of thinking, concept formation, problem solving, planning, creativity, impluse control, inhibition. Toronto, Canada: Pearson. [https://](https://doi.org/10.1037/t15082-000) doi.org/10.1037/t15082-000
- Demoulin, C., & Kolinsky, R. (2016). Does learning to read shape verbal working memory? Psychonomic Bulletin & Review, 23(3), 703–722. [https://doi.org/10.3758/s13423-015-0956-7,](https://doi.org/10.3758/s13423-015-0956-7) PubMed: [26438254](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/26438254)
- Diamond, A. (2013). Executive functions. Annual Review of Psychology, 64, 135–168. [https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-psych](https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-psych-113011-143750) [-113011-143750](https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-psych-113011-143750), PubMed: [23020641](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/23020641)
- Dosenbach, N. U., Fair, D. A., Cohen, A. L., Schlaggar, B. L., & Petersen, S. E. (2008). A dual-networks architecture of top-down control. Trends in Cognitive Sciences, 12(3), 99–105. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tics.2008.01.001>, PubMed: [18262825](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/18262825)
- Dunn, L. M., & Dunn, D. M. (2007). PPVT-4: Peabody picture vocabulary test. Minneapolis, MN: Pearson Assessments. <https://doi.org/10.1037/t15144-000>
- Ellis, N. (1990). Reading, phonological skills and short-term memory: Interactive tributaries of development. Journal of Research in Reading, 13(2), 107–122. [https://doi.org/10.1111/j](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1467-9817.1990.tb00328.x) [.1467-9817.1990.tb00328.x](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1467-9817.1990.tb00328.x)
- Facoetti, A., & Molteni, M. (2001). The gradient of visual attention in developmental dyslexia. Neuropsychologia, 39(4), 352–357. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0028-3932\(00\)00138-X](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0028-3932(00)00138-X), PubMed: [11164873](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/11164873)
- Farah, R., Coalson, R. S., Petersen, S. E., Schlaggar, B. L., & Horowitz-Kraus, T. (2019). Children use regions in the visual processing and executive function networks during a subsequent memory reading task. Cerebral Cortex, 29(12), 5180–5189. [https://doi.org/10.1093](https://doi.org/10.1093/cercor/bhz057) [/cercor/bhz057](https://doi.org/10.1093/cercor/bhz057), PubMed: [30927366](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/30927366)
- Farah, R., Tzafrir, H., & Horowitz-Kraus, T. (2020). Association between diffusivity measures and language and cognitivecontrol abilities from early toddler's age to childhood. Brain Structure and Function, 225, 1103-1122. [https://doi.org/10](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00429-020-02062-1) [.1007/s00429-020-02062-1,](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00429-020-02062-1) PubMed: [32270284](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/32270284)
- Fjell, A. M., Sneve, M. H., Grydeland, H., Storsve, A. B., & Walhovd, K. B. (2016). The disconnected brain and executive function decline in aging. Cerebral Cortex, 27(3), 2303-2317. [https://doi](https://doi.org/10.1093/cercor/bhw082) [.org/10.1093/cercor/bhw082](https://doi.org/10.1093/cercor/bhw082), PubMed: [27073220](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/27073220)
- Fostick, L., & Revah, H. (2018). Dyslexia as a multi-deficit disorder: Working memory and auditory temporal processing. Acta Psychologica, 183, 19–28. [https://doi.org/10.1016/j.actpsy.2017.12](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.actpsy.2017.12.010) [.010,](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.actpsy.2017.12.010) PubMed: [29304447](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/29304447)
- Gioia, G. A., Isquith, P. K., Guy, S. C., & Kenworthy, L. J. (2000). Test review: Behavior rating inventory of executive function. Child Neuropsychology, 6(3), 235–238. [https://doi.org/10.1076](https://doi.org/10.1076/chin.6.3.235.3152) [/chin.6.3.235.3152](https://doi.org/10.1076/chin.6.3.235.3152), PubMed: [11419452](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/11419452)
- Gonzalez, C. L., Mills, K. J., Genee, I., Li, F., Piquette, N., Rosen, N., & Gibb, R. (2014). Getting the right grasp on executive function. Frontiers in Psychology, 5, 285. [https://doi.org/10.3389](https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2014.00285) [/fpsyg.2014.00285](https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2014.00285), PubMed: [24778624](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/24778624)
- Goyette, C. H., Conners, C. K., & Ulrich, R. F. (1978). Normative data on revised Conners Parent and Teacher Rating Scales. Journal of Abnormal Child Psychology, 6(2), 221–236. [https://](https://doi.org/10.1007/BF00919127) [doi.org/10.1007/BF00919127,](https://doi.org/10.1007/BF00919127) PubMed: [670589](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/670589)
- Gullick, M. M., & Booth, J. R. (2015). The direct segment of the arcuate fasciculus is predictive of longitudinal reading change. Developmental Cognitive Neuroscience, 13, 68-74. [https://doi](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.dcn.2015.05.002) [.org/10.1016/j.dcn.2015.05.002](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.dcn.2015.05.002), PubMed: [26011750](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/26011750)
- Haft, S., Caballero, J. N., Tanak, H., Zekelman, N., Cutting, L. E., Uchiko, Y., & Hoeft, F. (2019). Direct and indirect contributions of executive function to word decoding and reading comprehension in kindergarten. Learning and Individual Differences, 76, 101783. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.lindif.2019.101783>, PubMed: [32189956](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/32189956)
- Hayes, A. F. (2013). Introduction to mediation, moderation, and conditional process analysis: A regression-based approach. New York, NY: The Guilford Press.
- Hayes, A. F. (2015). An index and test of linear moderated mediation. Multivariate Behavioral Research, 50(1), 1-22. [https://doi](https://doi.org/10.1080/00273171.2014.962683) [.org/10.1080/00273171.2014.962683](https://doi.org/10.1080/00273171.2014.962683), PubMed: [26609740](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/26609740)
- He, Q., Xue, G., Chen, C., Chen, C., Lu, Z. L., & Dong, Q. (2013). Decoding the neuroanatomical basis of reading ability: A multivoxel morphometric study. Journal of Neuroscience, 33(31), 12835–12843. [https://doi.org/10.1523/JNEUROSCI.0449-13.2013,](https://doi.org/10.1523/JNEUROSCI.0449-13.2013) PubMed: [23904618](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/23904618)
- Hoeft, F., McCandliss, B. D., Black, J. M., Gantman, A., Zakerani, N., Hulme, C., … Gabrieli, J. D. (2011). Neural systems predicting long-term outcome in dyslexia. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America, 108(1), 361–366. [https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1008950108,](https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1008950108) PubMed: [21173250](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/21173250)
- Hoeft, F., Meyler, A., Hernandez, A., Juel, C., Taylor-Hill, H., Martindale, J. L., … Gabrieli, J. D. (2007). Functional and morphometric brain dissociation between dyslexia and reading ability. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America, 104(10), 4234-4239. [https://doi](https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.0609399104) [.org/10.1073/pnas.0609399104](https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.0609399104), PubMed: [17360506](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/17360506)
- Horowitz-Kraus, T. (2014). Pinpointing the deficit in executive functions in adolescents with dyslexia performing the Wisconsin card sorting test: An ERP study. Journal of Learning Disabilities, 47(3), 208–223. [https://doi.org/10.1177/0022219412453084,](https://doi.org/10.1177/0022219412453084) PubMed: [22907884](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/22907884)
- Horowitz-Kraus, T. (2016). The role of executive functions in the reading process. In Reading fluency (pp. 51–63). Cham, Switzerland: Springer. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-30478-6_4
- Horowitz-Kraus, T., & Breznitz, Z. (2014). Can reading rate acceleration improve error monitoring and cognitive abilities underlying reading in adolescents with reading difficulties and in typical readers? Brain Research, 1544. 1–14. [https://doi.org/10.1016/j](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.brainres.2013.11.027) [.brainres.2013.11.027](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.brainres.2013.11.027), PubMed: [24316242](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/24316242)
- Horowitz-Kraus, T., & Holland, S. K. (2015). Greater functional connectivity between reading and error-detection regions following training with the reading acceleration program in children with reading difficulties. Annals of Dyslexia, 65(1), 1–23. [https://doi](https://doi.org/10.1007/s11881-015-0096-9) [.org/10.1007/s11881-015-0096-9,](https://doi.org/10.1007/s11881-015-0096-9) PubMed: [25680742](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/25680742)
- Horowitz-Kraus, T., DiFrancesco, M., Kay, B., Wang, Y., & Holland, S. K. (2015a). Increased functional connectivity of specific brain networks after reading training in dyslexic children. Clinical NeuroImage, 8, 619–630. [https://doi.org/10.1016/j.nicl.2015.06](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.nicl.2015.06.010) [.010,](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.nicl.2015.06.010) PubMed: [26199874](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/26199874)
- Horowitz-Kraus, T., Toro-Serey, C., & DiFrancesco, M. (2015b). Increased resting-state functional connectivity in the cinguloopercular cognitive-control network after intervention in children with reading difficulties. PLoS One, 10(7), e0133762. [https://doi](https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0133762) [.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0133762,](https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0133762) PubMed: [26197049](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/26197049)
- Horowitz-Kraus, T., Vannest, J. J., Gozdas, E., & Holland, S. K. (2014). Greater utilization of neural-circuits related to executive functions is associated with better reading: A longitudinal fMRI study using the verb generation task. Frontiers in Human Neuroscience, 8, 447. [https://doi.org/10.3389/fnhum.2014.00447,](https://doi.org/10.3389/fnhum.2014.00447) PubMed: [24999322](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/24999322)
- Horowitz-Kraus, T., Vannest, J. J., & Holland, S. K. (2013). Overlapping neural circuitry for narrative comprehension and proficient reading in children and adolescents. Neuropsychologia, 51(13), 2651–2662. [https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuropsychologia.2013.09](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuropsychologia.2013.09.002) [.002,](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuropsychologia.2013.09.002) PubMed: [24029377](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/24029377)
- Hunter, S. J., & Sparrow, E. P. (2012). Executive function and dysfunction: Identification, assessment, and treatment. Cambridge, UK: Cambridge University Press. [https://doi.org/10.1017](https://doi.org/10.1017/CBO9780511977954) [/CBO9780511977954](https://doi.org/10.1017/CBO9780511977954)
- Illingworth, S., & Bishop, D. V. (2009). Atypical cerebral lateralisation in adults with compensated developmental dyslexia demonstrated using functional transcranial Doppler ultrasound. Brain

and Language, 111(1), 61–65. [https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bandl](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bandl.2009.05.002) [.2009.05.002](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bandl.2009.05.002), PubMed: [19525003](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/19525003)

- Im, K., Raschle, N. M., Smith, S. A., Ellen Grant, P., & Gaab, N. (2016). Atypical sulcal pattern in children with developmental dyslexia and at-risk kindergarteners. Cerebral Cortex, 26(3), 1138–1148. [https://doi.org/10.1093/cercor/bhu305,](https://doi.org/10.1093/cercor/bhu305) PubMed: [25576531](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/25576531)
- Jorm, A. F. (1979). The cognitive and neurological basis of developmental dyslexia: A theoretical framework and review. Cognition, 7(1), 19-33. [https://doi.org/10.1016/0010-0277\(79\)90008-8,](https://doi.org/10.1016/0010-0277(79)90008-8) PubMed: [436400](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/436400)
- Kim, Y.-S. G. (2020). Simple but not simplistic: The simple view of reading unpacked and expanded. The Reading League Journal, 1(2), 15–34.
- Klingberg, T., Hedehus, M., Temple, E., Salz, T., Gabrieli, J. D., Moseley, M. E., & Poldrack, R. A. (2000). Microstructure of temporo-parietal white matter as a basis for reading ability: Evidence from diffusion tensor magnetic resonance imaging. Neuron, 25(2), 493–500. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0896-6273\(00\)](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0896-6273(00)80911-3) [80911-3,](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0896-6273(00)80911-3) PubMed: [10719902](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/10719902)
- Koshiyama, D., Fukunaga, M., Okada, N., Morita, K., Nemoto, K., Yamashita, F., … Hashimoto, R. (2020). Association between the superior longitudinal fasciculus and perceptual organization and working memory: A diffusion tensor imaging study. Neuroscience Letters, 738, 135349. [https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neulet.2020](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neulet.2020.135349) [.135349,](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neulet.2020.135349) PubMed: [32889005](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/32889005)
- Kovelman, I., Norton, E. S., Christodoulou, J. A., Gaab, N., Lieberman, D. A., Triantafyllou, C., … Gabrieli, J. D. (2012). Brain basis of phonological awareness for spoken language in children and its disruption in dyslexia. Cerebral Cortex, 22(4), 754–764. [https://doi.org/10.1093/cercor/bhr094,](https://doi.org/10.1093/cercor/bhr094) PubMed: [21693783](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/21693783)
- Kraus, D., & Horowitz-Kraus, T. (2022). Functional MRI research involving healthy children: Ethics, safety, and recommended procedures. Acta Paediatrica, 111(4), 741-749. [https://doi.org/10](https://doi.org/10.1111/apa.16247) [.1111/apa.16247](https://doi.org/10.1111/apa.16247), PubMed: [34986521](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/34986521)
- Kronbichler, M., Wimmer, H., Staffen, W., Hutzler, F., Mair, A., & Ladurner, G. (2008). Developmental dyslexia: Gray matter abnormalities in the occipitotemporal cortex. Human Brain Mapping, 29(5), 613–625. [https://doi.org/10.1002/hbm.20425,](https://doi.org/10.1002/hbm.20425) PubMed: [17636558](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/17636558)
- Lehto, J. E., Juujärvi, P., Kooistra, L., & Pulkkinen, L. (2003). Dimensions of executive functioning: Evidence from children. British Journal of Developmental Psychology, 21(1), 59-80. [https://doi](https://doi.org/10.1348/026151003321164627) [.org/10.1348/026151003321164627](https://doi.org/10.1348/026151003321164627)
- Leonard, C. M., & Eckert, M. A. (2008). Asymmetry and dyslexia. Developmental Neuropsychology, 33(6), 663-681. [https://doi](https://doi.org/10.1080/87565640802418597) [.org/10.1080/87565640802418597,](https://doi.org/10.1080/87565640802418597) PubMed: [19005910](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/19005910)
- Levinson, O., Hershey, A., Farah, R., & Horowitz-Kraus, T. (2018). Altered functional connectivity of the executive functions network during a stroop task in children with reading difficulties. Brain Connectivity, 8(8), 516-525. [https://doi.org/10.1089/brain](https://doi.org/10.1089/brain.2018.0595) [.2018.0595,](https://doi.org/10.1089/brain.2018.0595) PubMed: [30289278](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/30289278)
- Lyon, G. R., Shaywitz, S. E., & Shaywitz, B. A. (2003). A definition of dyslexia. Annals of Dyslexia, 53, 1–14. [https://doi.org/10.1007](https://doi.org/10.1007/s11881-003-0001-9) [/s11881-003-0001-9](https://doi.org/10.1007/s11881-003-0001-9)
- Manly, T., Robertson, I., Anderson, V., & Nimmo-Smith, I. (1999). The test of everyday attention for children (TEA-Ch). Chelmsford, UK: Thames Valley Test Company.
- Martin, A., Schurz, M., Kronbichler, M., & Richlan, F. (2015). Reading in the brain of children and adults: A meta-analysis of 40 functional magnetic resonance imaging studies. Human Brain Mapping, 36(5), 1963–1981. [https://doi.org/10.1002/hbm](https://doi.org/10.1002/hbm.22749) [.22749,](https://doi.org/10.1002/hbm.22749) PubMed: [25628041](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/25628041)
- Meiri, R., Levinson, O., & Horowitz-Kraus, T. (2019). Altered association between executive functions and reading and math fluency tasks in children with reading difficulties compared with typical readers. Dyslexia, 25(3), 267–283. [https://doi.org/10](https://doi.org/10.1002/dys.1624) [.1002/dys.1624,](https://doi.org/10.1002/dys.1624) PubMed: [31298465](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/31298465)
- Mercedes, S., & Cutting, L. E. (2020). Relations among executive function, decoding, and reading comprehension: An investigation of sex differences. Discourse Processes, 58(1), 42-59. [https://doi](https://doi.org/10.1080/0163853X.2020.1734416) [.org/10.1080/0163853X.2020.1734416](https://doi.org/10.1080/0163853X.2020.1734416), PubMed: [33716362](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/33716362)
- Meri, R., Farah, R., & Horowitz-Kraus, T. (2020). Children with dyslexia utilize both top-down and bottom-up networks equally in contextual and isolated word reading. Neuropsychologia, 147, 107574. [https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuropsychologia.2020](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuropsychologia.2020.107574) [.107574,](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuropsychologia.2020.107574) PubMed: [32780996](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/32780996)
- Miyake, A., Friedman, N. P., Emerson, M. J., Witzki, A. H., Howerter, A., & Wager, T. D. (2000). The unity and diversity of executive functions and their contributions to complex "frontal lobe" tasks: A latent variable analysis. Cognitive Psychology, 41(1), 49–100. [https://doi.org/10.1006/cogp.1999.0734,](https://doi.org/10.1006/cogp.1999.0734) PubMed: [10945922](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/10945922)
- Moreau, D., Stonyer, J. E., McKay, N. S., & Waldie, K. E. (2018). No evidence for systematic white matter correlates of dyslexia: An activation likelihood estimation meta-analysis. Brain Research, 1683, 36–47. [https://doi.org/10.1016/j.brainres.2018.01.014,](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.brainres.2018.01.014) PubMed: [29456133](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/29456133)
- Muetzel, R. L., Collins, P. F., Mueller, B. A., Schissel, A. M., Lim, K. O., & Luciana, M. (2008). The development of corpus callosum microstructure and associations with bimanual task performance in healthy adolescents. NeuroImage, 39(4), 1918–1925. [https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuroimage.2007.10.018,](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuroimage.2007.10.018) PubMed: [18060810](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/18060810)
- Myers, C. A., Vandermosten, M., Farris, E. A., Hancock, R., Gimenez, P., Black, J. M., … Hoeft, F. (2014). White matter morphometric changes uniquely predict children's reading acquisition. Psychological Science, 25(10), 1870–1883. [https://doi.org/10.1177](https://doi.org/10.1177/0956797614544511) [/0956797614544511](https://doi.org/10.1177/0956797614544511), PubMed: [25212581](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/25212581)
- Nichols, T. E., & Holmes, A. P. (2002). Nonparametric permutation tests for functional neuroimaging: A primer with examples. Human Brain Mapping, 15(1), 1–25. [https://doi.org/10.1002](https://doi.org/10.1002/hbm.1058) [/hbm.1058](https://doi.org/10.1002/hbm.1058), PubMed: [11747097](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/11747097)
- Norton, E. S., Beach, S. D., & Gabrieli, J. D. E. (2015). Neurobiology of dyslexia. Current Opinion in Neurobiology, 30, 73–78. [https://](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.conb.2014.09.007) doi.org/10.1016/j.conb.2014.09.007, PubMed: [25290881](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/25290881)
- Østby, Y., Tamnes, C. K., Fjell, A. M., & Walhovd, K. B. (2011). Morphometry and connectivity of the fronto-parietal verbal working memory network in development. Neuropsychologia, 49(14), 3854–3862. [https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuropsychologia.2011.10](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuropsychologia.2011.10.001) [.001,](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuropsychologia.2011.10.001) PubMed: [22001853](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/22001853)
- Patael, S. Z., Farris, E. A., Black, J. M., Hancock, R., Gabrieli, J. D. E., Cutting, L. E., & Hoeft, F. (2018). Brain basis of cognitive resilience: Prefrontal cortex predicts better reading comprehension in relation to decoding. PLoS One, 13(6), e0198791. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0198791>, PubMed: [29902208](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/29902208)
- Pavuluri, M. N., Yang, S., Kamineni, K., Passarotti, A. M., Srinivasan, G., Harral, E. M., … Zhou, X. J. (2009). Diffusion tensor imaging study of white matter fiber tracts in pediatric bipolar disorder and attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder. Biological Psychiatry, 65(7), 586–593. [https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biopsych.2008.10.015,](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biopsych.2008.10.015) PubMed: [19027102](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/19027102)
- Pennington, B. F., Groisser, D., & Welsh, M. C. (1993). Contrasting cognitive deficits in attention deficit hyperactivity disorder versus reading disability. Developmental Psychology, 29, 511–523. <https://doi.org/10.1037/0012-1649.29.3.511>
- Pernet, C., Andersson, J., Paulesu, E., & Demonet, J. F. (2009). When all hypotheses are right: A multifocal account of dyslexia. Human Brain Mapping, 30(7), 2278–2292. [https://doi.org/10](https://doi.org/10.1002/hbm.20670) [.1002/hbm.20670](https://doi.org/10.1002/hbm.20670), PubMed: [19235876](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/19235876)
- Peters, B. D., Ikuta, T., DeRosse, P., John, M., Burdick, K. E., Gruner, P., … Malhotra, A. K. (2014). Age-related differences in white matter tract microstructure are associated with cognitive performance from childhood to adulthood. Biological Psychiatry, 75(3), 248–256. [https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biopsych.2013.05.020,](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biopsych.2013.05.020) PubMed: [23830668](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/23830668)
- Pierpaoli, C., Jezzard, P., Basser, P. J., Barnett, A., & Di Chiro, G. (1996). Diffusion tensor MR imaging of the human brain. Radiology, 201(3), 637–648. [https://doi.org/10.1148/radiology.201.3](https://doi.org/10.1148/radiology.201.3.8939209) [.8939209](https://doi.org/10.1148/radiology.201.3.8939209), PubMed: [8939209](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/8939209)
- Qi, Z., Han, M., Garel, K., San Chen, E., & Gabrieli, J. D. E. (2015). White-matter structure in the right hemisphere predicts Mandarin Chinese learning success. Journal of Neurolinguistics, 33, 14–28. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jneuroling.2014.08.004>
- Ram-Tsur, R., Faust, M., & Zivotofsky, A. Z. (2008). Poor performance on serial visual tasks in persons with reading disabilities: Impaired working memory? Journal of Learning Disabilities, 41(5), 437-450. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0022219408321141>, PubMed: [18768775](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/18768775)
- Reiter, A., Tucha, O., & Lange, K. W. (2005). Executive functions in children with dyslexia. Dyslexia, 11(2), 116–131. [https://doi.org](https://doi.org/10.1002/dys.289) [/10.1002/dys.289,](https://doi.org/10.1002/dys.289) PubMed: [15918370](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/15918370)
- Richlan, F., Kronbichler, M., & Wimmer, H. (2009). Functional abnormalities in the dyslexic brain: A quantitative meta-analysis of neuroimaging studies. Human Brain Mapping, 30(10), 3299–3308. <https://doi.org/10.1002/hbm.20752>, PubMed: [19288465](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/19288465)
- Richlan, F., Kronbichler, M., & Wimmer, H. (2011). Meta-analyzing brain dysfunctions in dyslexic children and adults. NeuroImage, 56(3), 1735–1742. [https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuroimage.2011](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuroimage.2011.02.040) [.02.040,](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuroimage.2011.02.040) PubMed: [21338695](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/21338695)
- Rimrodt, S. L., Peterson, D. J., Denckla, M. B., Kaufmann, W. E., & Cutting, L. E. (2010). White matter microstructural differences linked to left perisylvian language network in children with dyslexia. Cortex, 46(6), 739–749. [https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cortex](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cortex.2009.07.008) [.2009.07.008](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cortex.2009.07.008), PubMed: [19682675](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/19682675)
- Saygin, Z. M., Norton, E. S., Osher, D. E., Beach, S. D., Cyr, A. B., Ozernov-Palchik, O., … Gabrieli, J. D. (2013). Tracking the roots of reading ability: White matter volume and integrity correlate with phonological awareness in prereading and early-reading kindergarten children. Journal of Neuroscience, 33(33), 13251–13258. [https://doi.org/10.1523/JNEUROSCI.4383-12.2013,](https://doi.org/10.1523/JNEUROSCI.4383-12.2013) PubMed: [23946384](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/23946384)
- Shaywitz, B. A., Shaywitz, S. E., Pugh, K. R., Mencl, W. E., Fulbright, R. K., Skudlarski, P., … Gore, J. C. (2002). Disruption

of posterior brain systems for reading in children with developmental dyslexia. Biological Psychiatry, 52(2), 101-110. [https://](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0006-3223(02)01365-3) [doi.org/10.1016/S0006-3223\(02\)01365-3](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0006-3223(02)01365-3), PubMed: [12114001](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/12114001)

- Shaywitz, S. E., Shaywitz, B. A., Pugh, K. R., Fulbright, R. K., Constable, R. T., Mencl, W. E., … Gore, J. C. (1998). Functional disruption in the organization of the brain for reading in dyslexia. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America, 95(5), 2636–2641. [https://doi.org/10.1073](https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.95.5.2636) [/pnas.95.5.2636](https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.95.5.2636), PubMed: [9482939](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/9482939)
- Sheskin, D. (2004). Handbook of parametric and nonparametric statistical procedures (3rd ed.). Boca Raton, FL: Chapman & Hall. <https://doi.org/10.1201/9781420036268>
- Sihvonen, A. J., Virtala, P., Thiede, A., Laasonen, M., & Kujala, T. (2021). Structural white matter connectometry of reading and dyslexia. NeuroImage, 241, 118411. [https://doi.org/10.1016/j](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuroimage.2021.118411) [.neuroimage.2021.118411,](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuroimage.2021.118411) PubMed: [34293464](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/34293464)
- Silani, G., Frith, U., Demonet, J. F., Fazio, F., Perani, D., Price, C., … Paulesu, E. (2005). Brain abnormalities underlying altered activation in dyslexia: A voxel based morphometry study. Brain, 128(Pt 10), 2453–2461. [https://doi.org/10.1093/brain/awh579,](https://doi.org/10.1093/brain/awh579) PubMed: [15975942](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/15975942)
- Simos, P. G., Fletcher, J. M., Bergman, E., Breier, J. I., Foorman, B. R., Castillo, E. M., … Papanicolaou, A. C. (2002). Dyslexiaspecific brain activation profile becomes normal following successful remedial training. Neurology, 58(8), 1203–1213. [https://](https://doi.org/10.1212/WNL.58.8.1203) [doi.org/10.1212/WNL.58.8.1203,](https://doi.org/10.1212/WNL.58.8.1203) PubMed: [11971088](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/11971088)
- Smith-Spark, J. H., & Fisk, J. E. (2007). Working memory functioning in developmental dyslexia. Memory, 15(1), 34–56. [https://doi](https://doi.org/10.1080/09658210601043384) [.org/10.1080/09658210601043384,](https://doi.org/10.1080/09658210601043384) PubMed: [17479923](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/17479923)
- Smith-Spark, J. H., Henry, L. A., Messer, D. J., Edvardsdottir, E., & Ziecik, A. P. (2016). Executive functions in adults with developmental dyslexia. Research in Developmental Disabilities, 53–54, 323–341. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ridd.2016.03.001>, PubMed: [26970859](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/26970859)
- Smith, S. M. (2002). Fast robust automated brain extraction. Human Brain Mapping, 17(3), 143–155. [https://doi.org/10.1002/hbm](https://doi.org/10.1002/hbm.10062) [.10062,](https://doi.org/10.1002/hbm.10062) PubMed: [12391568](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/12391568)
- Snowling, M. J. (1995). Phonological processing and developmental dyslexia. Journal of Research in Reading, 18(2), 132–138. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1467-9817.1995.tb00079.x>
- Spencer, M., Richmond, M. C., & Cutting, L. E. (2020). Considering the role of executive function in reading comprehension: A structural equation modeling approach. Scientific Studies of Reading, 24(3), 179–199. [https://doi.org/10.1080/10888438.2019](https://doi.org/10.1080/10888438.2019.1643868) [.1643868,](https://doi.org/10.1080/10888438.2019.1643868) PubMed: [32982142](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/32982142)
- Steinbrink, C., Vogt, K., Kastrup, A., Müller, H.-P., Juengling, F., Kassubek, J., & Riecker, A. (2008). The contribution of white and gray matter differences to developmental dyslexia: Insights from DTI and VBM at 3.0 T. Neuropsychologia, 46(13), 3170–3178. [https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuropsychologia.2008.07.015,](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuropsychologia.2008.07.015) PubMed: [18692514](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/18692514)
- Su, M., Zhao, J., Thiebaut de Schotten, M., Zhou, W., Gong, G., Ramus, F., & Shu, H. (2018). Alterations in white matter pathways underlying phonological and morphological processing in Chinese developmental dyslexia. Developmental Cognitive Neuroscience, 31, 11–19. [https://doi.org/10.1016/j.dcn.2018.04.002,](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.dcn.2018.04.002) PubMed: [29727819](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/29727819)
- Taboada Barber, A., Cartwright, K. B., Hancock, G. R., & Klauda, S. L. (2021). Beyond the simple view of reading: The role of executive

functions in emergent bilinguals' and English monolinguals' reading comprehension. Reading Research Quarterly, 56, S45–S64. <https://doi.org/10.1002/rrq.385>

- Tamnes, C. K., Fjell, A. M., Westlye, L. T., Østby, Y., & Walhovd, K. B. (2012). Becoming consistent: Developmental reductions in intraindividual variability in reaction time are related to white matter integrity. Journal of Neuroscience, 32(3), 972-982. [https://](https://doi.org/10.1523/JNEUROSCI.4779-11.2012) [doi.org/10.1523/JNEUROSCI.4779-11.2012,](https://doi.org/10.1523/JNEUROSCI.4779-11.2012) PubMed: [22262895](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/22262895)
- Torgesen, J. K., Rashotte, C. A., & Wagner, R. K. (1999). TOWRE: Test of word reading efficiency. Toronto, Canada: Psychological Corporation.
- Turkeltaub, P. E., Gareau, L., Flowers, D. L., Zeffiro, T. A., & Eden, G. F. (2003). Development of neural mechanisms for reading. Nature Neuroscience, 6(7), 767–773. [https://doi.org/10.1038](https://doi.org/10.1038/nn1065) [/nn1065,](https://doi.org/10.1038/nn1065) PubMed: [12754516](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/12754516)
- Tustison, N. J., Avants, B. B., Cook, P. A., Zheng, Y., Egan, A., Yushkevich, P. A., & Gee, J. C. (2010). N4ITK: improved N3 bias correction. IEEE Transactions on Medical Imaging, 29(6), 1310–1320. [https://doi.org/10.1109/tmi.2010.2046908,](https://doi.org/10.1109/tmi.2010.2046908) PubMed: [20378467](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/20378467)
- Urger, S. E., De Bellis, M. D., Hooper, S. R., Woolley, D. P., Chen, S. D., & Provenzale, J. (2015). The superior longitudinal fasciculus in typically developing children and adolescents: Diffusion tensor imaging and neuropsychological correlates. Journal of Child Neurology, 30(1), 9–20. [https://doi.org/10.1177](https://doi.org/10.1177/0883073813520503) [/0883073813520503](https://doi.org/10.1177/0883073813520503), PubMed: [24556549](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/24556549)
- Vandermosten, M., Boets, B., Poelmans, H., Sunaert, S., Wouters, J., & Ghesquiere, P. (2012). A tractography study in dyslexia: Neuroanatomic correlates of orthographic, phonological and speech processing. Brain, 135(Pt 3), 935–948. [https://doi.org/10.1093](https://doi.org/10.1093/brain/awr363) [/brain/awr363,](https://doi.org/10.1093/brain/awr363) PubMed: [22327793](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/22327793)
- Vandermosten, M., Hoeft, F., & Norton, E. S. (2016). Integrating MRI brain imaging studies of pre-reading children with current theories of developmental dyslexia: A review and quantitative meta-analysis. Current Opinion in Behavioral Sciences, 10, 155–161. [https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cobeha.2016.06.007,](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cobeha.2016.06.007) PubMed: [27458603](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/27458603)
- Vandermosten, M., Vanderauwera, J., Theys, C., De Vos, A., Vanvooren, S., Sunaert, S., … Ghesquière, P. (2015). A DTI tractography study in pre-readers at risk for dyslexia. Developmental Cognitive Neuroscience, 14, 8–15. [https://doi.org/10](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.dcn.2015.05.006) [.1016/j.dcn.2015.05.006](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.dcn.2015.05.006), PubMed: [26048528](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/26048528)
- Varvara, P., Varuzza, C., Sorrentino, A. C., Vicari, S., & Menghini, D. (2014). Executive functions in developmental dyslexia. Frontiers in Human Neuroscience, 8, 120. [https://doi.org/10.3389](https://doi.org/10.3389/fnhum.2014.00120) [/fnhum.2014.00120](https://doi.org/10.3389/fnhum.2014.00120), PubMed: [24639640](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/24639640)
- Vestergaard, M., Madsen, K. S., Baare, W. F., Skimminge, A., Ejersbo, L. R., Ramsoy, T. Z., … Jernigan, T. L. (2011). White matter microstructure in superior longitudinal fasciculus associated with spatial working memory performance in children. Journal of Cognitive Neuroscience, 23(9), 2135–2146. [https://doi.org/10](https://doi.org/10.1162/jocn.2010.21592) [.1162/jocn.2010.21592](https://doi.org/10.1162/jocn.2010.21592), PubMed: [20964591](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/20964591)
- Wagner, R. K., Torgesen, J. K., Rashotte, C. A., & Pearson, N. A. (2013). CTOPP-2: Comprehensive test of phonological processing. Austin, TX: Pro-Ed. <https://doi.org/10.1037/t52630-000>
- Waldie, K. E., Haigh, C. E., Badzakova-Trajkov, G., Buckley, J., & Kirk, I. J. (2013). Reading the wrong way with the right

hemisphere. Brain Science, 3(3), 1060-1075. [https://doi.org/10](https://doi.org/10.3390/brainsci3031060) [.3390/brainsci3031060](https://doi.org/10.3390/brainsci3031060), PubMed: [24961521](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/24961521)

- Wang, H.-L. S., Wang, N. Y.-H., & Yeh, F.-C. (2019). Specifying the diffusion MRI connectome in Chinese-speaking children with developmental dyslexia and auditory processing deficits. Pediatrics & Neonatology, 60(3), 297–304. [https://doi.org/10.1016/j](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pedneo.2018.07.016) [.pedneo.2018.07.016,](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pedneo.2018.07.016) PubMed: [30181073](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/30181073)
- Wang, L. C., Tasi, H. J., & Yang, H. M. (2012). Cognitive inhibition in students with and without dyslexia and dyscalculia. Research in Developmental Disabilities, 33(5), 1453-1461. [https://doi.org](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ridd.2012.03.019) [/10.1016/j.ridd.2012.03.019,](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ridd.2012.03.019) PubMed: [22522204](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/22522204)
- Wang, Y., Mauer, M. V., Raney, T., Peysakhovich, B., Becker, B. L., Sliva, D. D., & Gaab, N. (2016). Development of tract-specific white matter pathways during early reading development in at-risk children and typical controls. Cerebral Cortex, 27(4), 2469–2485. [https://doi.org/10.1093/cercor/bhw095,](https://doi.org/10.1093/cercor/bhw095) PubMed: [27114172](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/27114172)
- Wechsler, D. (2012). Wechsler preschool and primary scale of intelligence (4th ed.). San Antonio, TX: The Psychological Corporation.
- Woodcock, R. W., McGrew, K. S., & Mather, N. (2001). Woodcock-Johnson III tests of cognitive abilities. Itasca, IL: Riverside.
- Yeatman, J. D., Dougherty, R. F., Ben-Shachar, M., & Wandell, B. A. (2012a). Development of white matter and reading skills.

Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America, 109(44), E3045-3053. [https://doi.org/10.1073](https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1206792109) [/pnas.1206792109,](https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1206792109) PubMed: [23045658](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/23045658)

- Yeatman, J. D., Dougherty, R. F., Myall, N. J., Wandell, B. A., & Feldman, H. M. (2012b). Tract profiles of white matter properties: Automating fiber-tract quantification. PLoS One, 7(11), e49790. [https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0049790,](https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0049790) PubMed: [23166771](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/23166771)
- Yeatman, J. D., Rauschecker, A. M., & Wandell, B. A. (2013). Anatomy of the visual word form area: Adjacent cortical circuits and long-range white matter connections. Brain and Language, 125(2), 146–155. [https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bandl.2012.04.010,](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bandl.2012.04.010) PubMed: [22632810](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/22632810)
- Zhang, Y., Brady, M., & Smith, S. (2001). Segmentation of brain MR images through a hidden Markov random field model and the expectation-maximization algorithm. IEEE Transactions on Medical Imaging, 20(1), 45–57. [https://doi.org/10.1109/42](https://doi.org/10.1109/42.906424) [.906424,](https://doi.org/10.1109/42.906424) PubMed: [11293691](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/11293691)
- Zhao, J., Yang, Y., Song, Y.-W., & Bi, H.-Y. (2015). Verbal short-term memory deficits in Chinese children with dyslexia may not be a problem with the activation of phonological representations. Dyslexia, 21(4), 304–322. [https://doi.org/10.1002/dys.1516,](https://doi.org/10.1002/dys.1516) PubMed: [26437073](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/26437073)